

Enhanced ammonium sources to reduce nitrate leaching

B.R. Ball-Coelho & R.C. Roy

Agriculture and Agri-Food Laboratory, Southern Crop Protection and Food Research Centre (Delhi Farm), P.O. Box 186, Delhi, Ontario, Canada, N4B 2W9

Received 9 September 1997; accepted in revised form 8 May 1998

Key words: anhydrous ammonia, dicyandiamide, nitrification inhibitor, urea-ammonium nitrate, Zea mays

Abstract

One approach to reduce NO3 movement to groundwater is increasing the proportion of N supplied to the crop as NH₄-N. Nitrification inhibitors (NI's) can be used to enhance NH₄-N supply, but most studies have focused on yield response, with little attention given to environmental impacts. To determine the effect of enhanced NH₄ sources on corn grain yield, N uptake and NO₃ movement to groundwater, three sidedress materials were compared during three different growing seasons. Application of anhydrous ammonia (AA) and addition of the NI, dicyandiamide (DCD) to urea-ammonium nitrate (UAN) both reduced NO₃ leaching losses relative to that incurred with UAN. With AA and UAN + DCD (as compared with UAN) subsoil solution NO3 concentrations were reduced by an average of: 1.1 mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹ soil following (fall 1993) a dry growing season; 2.4 mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹ soil during (spring and summer 1994) and 1.4 mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹ soil after (fall 1994) a wet growing season; and 0.5 mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹ soil following (fall 1995) a growing season with intermediate rainfall. Based on average solution NO₃ concentrations and approximate drainage after harvest, estimated N losses between harvest and freeze-up were 43, 22 and 19 kg N ha⁻¹ with UAN, UAN + DCD and AA, respectively (average of 3 years). Grain yields and aboveground N uptake were greater with AA and UAN + DCD than with UAN, and residual fertilizer N (applied N less aboveground N uptake) was 18, 6 and -2 kg N ha⁻¹ with UAN, UAN + DCD and AA, respectively (average of 3 years). As is often observed, the trend for greater yield with addition of the NI was not large or consistent enough to meet registration criteria. Data demonstrating reduced NO₃ leaching are also relevant, and positive environmental impacts should be a criterion for registration. For growers who are reluctant to use AA, this would provide an alternative source to maximize yield while minimizing NO₃ movement to groundwater.

Introduction

To combat the problem of contamination of groundwater by NO₃–N, which is particularly acute below coarse-textured soils (Agriculture Canada, 1993), some areas have guidelines that restrict the time, form and rate of N fertilizer application (Buerkert et al., 1995). Supplying a greater proportion of the plant's N requirements as NH₄–N (vs. NO₃–N) is one approach used to reduce NO₃ leaching. To this end, use of nitrification inhibitors (NI's) applied with NH₄–N fertilizer sources was proposed for the Nitrate Sensitive Areas scheme in the UK (Davies and Williams, 1994). Enhancing the supply of NH₄ can also increase yields (Alexander et al., 1991; Below and Gentry, 1987) because NH₄ assimilation is less energy costly than NO₃ assimilation. Yield improvements with enhanced NH₄ nutrition (EAN), however, are not always reproducible under field conditions (Barber et al., 1992). Some short-season corn hybrids, for example, may not produce a higher yield when nitrification is inhibited (Tsai and Huber, 1996). Greatest yield responses to the addition of NI's occur on coarse-textured soils, soils with low N fertility or at low N application rates (Frye et al., 1989; Malzer et al., 1989), and in situations where excessive soil water leads to heavy N leaching (Prasad and Power, 1995), usually 300 mm or greater annual water surplus in the case of dicyandiamide (DCD) application in the same growing season (Scharf and Alley, 1988). In view of these field results, yield gains

with the use of NI's appear to be more related to N conservation within the system than to a physiological response to EAN.

Most research with NI's has emphasized yield response, and studies of their effects on NO₃ leaching are rather limited (Prasad and Power, 1995). With the aim of maximizing yield while minimizing NO₃ movement to groundwater, we compared ureaammonium nitrate (UAN), anhydrous ammonia (AA) (which are widely used materials, Biederbeck et al., 1996; Anonymous, 1995), and UAN plus DCD in terms of effects on corn yield, N uptake and NO₃ movement to groundwater.

Materials and methods

Field techniques

The study site was located on Fox loamy sand (Typic Hapludalf) not previously cropped with corn. Corn was conventionally tilled in 1993, and no-tilled in 1994 and 1995. Pioneer hybrid 3751 was planted 12 May 1993 with 33 L ha⁻¹ 6-24-6, and hybrid 3752 was planted 18 May 1994 and 15 May 1995 with 150 kg ha⁻¹ 13-7-20 3%Mg 2%Zn, in 0.9 m rows at a rate of 61,000 seeds per hectare. The remainder of the fertilizer N requirement was knife-injected (with coulters in 1994 and 1995) mid-way between rows on 24-25 June 1993 (Day 175), 23 June 1994 (Day 174) and 13-14 June 1995 (Day 164) to bring the total N applied to 150 kg N ha⁻¹, which is approximately maximum economic N rate (Ball-Coelho and Roy, 1997). For high-use crops such as corn, on coarse-textured soils it is prudent to split-apply N, with the majority sidedressed, when plant demand is greater. Sidedress N source treatments: UAN; UAN plus 5% by volume DCD (IMC-Agrico Company, Henderson, KY) and AA; were arranged in a randomized block design with four replications. Treatments were applied to the same plots (12 rows wide by 25 m long) each year for three years. Weeds were controlled using a pre-emergent tank-mix (metolachlor plus cyanazine). Aboveground corn phytomass was determined in October from 10 randomly selected plants (per plot) cut at the soil surface. Corn grain yield was determined from combined weights of two rows by 20 m on 2 November 1993, and four rows by 18 m on 31 October 1994 and 18 October 1995.

All plots were irrigated with 25 mm of water on 21 July 1993 to facilitate installation of solution samplers in the dry soil. Solution samplers, consisting of a

ceramic cup (100 kPa air entry) glued on a PVC tube with internal Teflon micro tubing for the application of a vacuum and sample extraction, were installed at 1 m (two per plot) July–August 1993, according to the method of Lord and Shepherd (1993). One additional lysimeter per plot was installed at 1.5 m in 1994, following observations of corn roots at 1 m, but few roots at 1.5 m. Solutions were collected when subsoil volumetric moisture contents (θ v) exceeded approximately 8%. No solution samples were collected during the summer of 1993 due to low θ v. Each time solutions were collected, θ v was determined by neutron scattering at 0.3, 0.7, 1.0, and 1.2 m (and 1.4 m in 1994 and 1995) in an access tube in each plot.

Soil samples, comprised of a bulk of three, 0.03 m diameter cores per plot, were taken 0.2 m from the row to 1 m on 18 October and 30 November 1993, and to 1.5 m on 27 July and 16 November 1994, and 14 August and 12 October 1995. Cores were divided into 0 - 0.075, 0.075 - 0.15, 0.15 - 0.3, 0.3 - 0, 0.5, 0.5 - 0.75, 0.75 - 1.0, 1.0 - 1.25, and 1.25 - 1.5 m depth increments.

Analytical and Statistical

Soil NO₃ and NH₄ concentrations were determined from a filtered 2*N* KCl extract (Maynard and Kalra, 1993) with correction for soil moisture content. Nitrate and NH₄ concentrations in soil extracts and solutions were determined by continuous flow colorimetry (Tel and Rao, 1981ab). Grain and stover samples were oven-dried at 65 °C, then total N was determined by sulfuric peroxide digestion and continuous flow colorimetry in 1993 (Tel and Rao, 1981c) and by combustion analysis in 1994 and 1995 (LECO Corp., St. Joseph, MI).

Grain yield and N uptake (grain + stover) of corn grown with UAN was compared to that grown with the enhanced NH₄ sources (UAN + DCD and AA) using contrasts (with source and year as factors in the ANOVA). Soil NO₃ and NH₄ concentrations were analysed according to a split plot design with N source as the main plot and depth as the sub-plot. θv was analysed using repeated measures with time as the repeated factor, N source as the main plot and depth as the sub-plot. Solution NO₃ concentration data (mg NO₃–N 1⁻¹) were analysed separately for each depth, with day as a continuous variable. For comparison to soil NO₃ concentrations, soil solution NO₃ concentrations were converted to a soil weight basis from

mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹ soil = NO₃ (mg m⁻³) ×
$$\theta v_i$$
 (m³m⁻³)÷ bulk density_i (kg m⁻³)

where $\theta v = experiment mean$ (1993 and 1995 data) or treatment mean (1994 data) at sample collection, and i = depth of the solution sampler cup. Treatment mean θv were used in 1994 because that fall, soil was often drier in AA plots (by 0.01 m³ m⁻³) than with the other N sources. 1994 and 1995 solution data were grouped by spring and summer vs. fall for averaging.

Results

Corn grain yield and N uptake

Corn grain yields did not differ significantly between N sources when compared within years, other than in 1994 when AA-fed corn out-yielded UAN-fed corn (Table 1). When the 3 years of data were combined, yields were greater with AA (7.5 mg ha⁻¹) and UAN + DCD (7.4 mg ha⁻¹) than with UAN (6.9 mg ha⁻¹). Differences in N uptake (particularly stover N uptake) with N source were more pronounced than yield effects (Table 1). Averaged over 3 years, aboveground N uptake was greater with AA (152 kg N ha⁻¹) and UAN + DCD (144 kg N ha⁻¹) than with UAN (132 kg N ha⁻¹).

Soil solution NO₃ concentrations

During the fall of 1993, NO₃ concentrations in the soil solution at 1 m were lower with AA and UAN + DCD than with UAN on most sampling dates (18, 20 and 26 October; 1 and 8 November, Figure 1a). Average fall concentration was reduced from 29 mg $NO_3 - N l^{-1}$ with UAN to near the drinking water standard of 10 mg NO₃–N l^{-1} with UAN + DCD (12 mg $NO_3-N l^{-1}$) and AA (11 mg $NO_3-N l^{-1}$). In 1994, solution NO₃ concentrations were variable at 1 m with few significant source differences. At 1.5 m, NO3 concentrations were greater with UAN than with AA and UAN + DCD on several sampling dates (Figure 1b). In 1995, NO₃ concentrations at 1 m were greater with UAN than with AA and UAN + DCD in the fall, but at 1.5 m concentrations did not vary with N source (Figure 1c).

Soil profile NO₃ and NH₄ concentrations

Results from soil sampling often corroborated results from solution samplers. On 18 October 1993 soil NO₃ concentrations tended to be greater between 0.3 and 1 m with UAN than with AA and UAN + DCD (Figure 2), similar to trends in solution concentrations at 1 m October through December 1993 (Figure 1a). On 16 November 1994 soil NO3 concentrations were greater with AA than UAN or UAN + DCD between 1 and 1.5 m (Figure 2), mirroring trends in solution concentrations at 1 m earlier in the season (Figure 1b). Soil profile NO₃ concentrations to 1.5 m were low (< 1 mg kg⁻¹, Figure 2) in the fall of 1994, however, probably due to greater N uptake by corn in 1994 than 1993 or 1995 (Table 1). On 14 August 1995, soil NO₃ concentrations were greater with UAN (5.8 mg kg⁻¹) than AA (0.7 mg kg⁻¹) or UAN + DCD (1.2 mg kg^{-1}) between 0.075 and 0.15 m deep (data not shown). This pulse of NO₃ (with UAN between 0.075 and 0.15 m) was detected deeper that fall in solutions at 1 m (Figure 1c) and in soil between 0.5 and 1.0 m (Figure 2). 1993 and 1995 fall average solution concentrations at 1 m converted to a soil weight basis (Table 2) were similar to fall soil concentrations (18 October 1993 and 12 October 1995, Figure 2).

Greater soil NO₃ between 0.075 and 0.15 m deep with UAN than with AA or UAN + DCD on 14 August 1995 may have been the result of greater nitrification with UAN (although nearly 9 wks after application). In support of this suggestion, on 14 August 1995 soil NH₄ concentration was less with UAN (1.8 mg kg⁻¹) than with AA (5.0 mg kg⁻¹) between 0.15 and 0.3 m (data not shown), and DCD inhibits nitrification for at least 8 weeks in the Fox sand under controlled conditions (Ball-Coelho, 1997). Soil profile NH₄ concentrations did not vary with N source on most sample dates, but on some other occasions soil NH4 was also greater with AA and UAN + DCD than with UAN. Soil NH₄ was greater: on 18 October 1993 with AA (2.3 mg kg^{-1}) than UAN + DCD (0.5 mg kg^{-1}) or UAN (0.9 mg kg^{-1}) in the top 0.075 m; on 30 November 1993 with AA (1.7 mg kg⁻¹) than UAN (1.1 mg kg^{-1}) between 0.075 and 0.15 m, with AA (2.0 mg kg^{-1}) than UAN + DCD (1.3 mg kg^{-1}) or UAN (0.9 mg kg^{-1}) between 0.15 and 0.3 m, and with AA (1.6 mg kg^{-1}) and UAN + DCD (1.2 mg kg^{-1}) than UAN (0.4 mg kg⁻¹) between 0.3 and 0.5 m; and on 12 October 1995 with AA (4.2 mg kg^{-1}) than UAN (1.2 mg kg^{-1}) between 0.3 and 0.5 m.

Table 1. Corn grain yield and grain and stover N uptake as influenced by sidedress source of N

Source	1993	1994	1995		
				3 yr Contrast	
Grain Yield (Mg ha $^{-1}$ 15.5%)					UAN vs. AA & UAN + DCD
UAN	6.7a	8.3b	5.9a	-	*
UAN + DCD	7.0a	8.8ab	6.4a		
AA	7.0a	9.5a	6.1a		
Grain Uptake	(kg N ha	a^{-1})			
UAN	95a	98b	91a		**
UAN + DCD	102a	107b	96a		
AA	100a	121a	99a		
Stover Uptake	(kg N h	a^{-1})			
UAN	43b	32b	38b		***
UAN + DCD	52a	35ab	37b		
AA	46ab	41a	49a		

Means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p \le 0.05$.



Figure 1a.

Discussion

During the wet 1994 growing season, leaching was reduced by AA and UAN + DCD, and so N was conserved within the system. In 1993 and 1995, however, leaching losses during the growing season were negligible, so increased N uptake and yield with AA and UAN + DCD were not due to N conservation, and may have been physiological responses to EAN. AA often increases corn yields and N uptake relative to other N sources (Malzer and Randall, 1985; Stehouwer and Johnson, 1990; Stevenson, 1992; Hanson et al., 1986), particularly in dry growing seasons (Kampfe and Ansorge, 1972). The AA response could be to EAN, since nearly all AA-N is converted to NH₄, and its subsequent conversion to NO₃ is inhibited by free NH₃- induced death of nitrifiers (Kiehl and Netto, 1974). No response to the addition of NI's to AA, or less response than to NI's added with UAN (Rogers et al., 1981; Malzer and Randall, 1985; Hanson et al., 1986) may in fact be due to this inherent inhibition of nitrification by AA.

Reduction in NO₃ leaching with AA and UAN + DCD (relative to UAN) during the summer of 1994 (by 2.4 mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹soil or 67% at 1.5 m) was probably related to inhibition of nitrification at the time of heavy rains (Figure 1b). In the fall, reductions in solution NO₃ concentrations with AA and UAN + DCD were shallower (at 1 m) following dry growing seasons (by 1 mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹soil or 58% fall 1993 and 0.5 mg NO₃–N kg⁻¹soil or 29% fall 1995) than following the wet growing season (at 1.5 m by 1.4 mg



Figure 1c. Soil solution NO₃–N concentrations (at 1 and 1.5 m deep) as influenced by sidedress N source in (a) 1993, (b) 1994 and (c) 1995. Bars denote standard error.

Source	1993	1994		1995	
	18 October –	25 May –	3 October –	12 June –	28 September –
	1 December	29 August	17 November	17 August	20 November
		$(mg NO_3 - N kg^{-1} so)$	il)		
		1 m			
UAN	$1.9 (0.22)^a$	1.4 (0.34)	0.2 (0.09)	0.5 (0.04)	1.7 (0.13)
UAN + DCD	0.9 (0.16)	1.3 (0.33)	0.4 (0.09)	0.4 (0.04)	1.3 (0.13)
AA	0.8 (0.16)	2.3 (0.33)	0.4 (0.09)	0.7 (0.04)	1.1 (0.13)
$p \ge F^b$	0.0001	0.06	0.4	0.0009	0.004
		1.5 m			
UAN		3.6 (0.46)	2.1 (0.18)	0.6 (0.02)	1.1 (0.11)
UAN + DCD		1.5 (0.38)	0.8 (0.15)	0.5 (0.02)	1.0 (0.12)
AA		0.9 (0.38)	0.7 (0.16)	0.5 (0.03)	0.9 (0.13)
$p \geq \! F$		0.0001	0.0001	0.080	0.6

Table 2. Average NO_3 concentration in the soil solution (expressed on a soil weight basis) as influenced by sidedress source of N

^aNumbers in parentheses are SE.

^bProbability of a greater F value if there is no source effect.



Figure 2. Soil profile NO_3-N concentrations in the fall of 1993, 1994 and 1995 as influenced by sidedress N source. Probability of a source by depth interaction is indicated for each sample date and bars denote standard error.

NO₃–N kg⁻¹ soil or 67% fall 1994). This is probably the result of N movement down the profile during the wet summer (Figure 1b), and greater root development and N uptake at 1 m with the larger 1994 crop (than in 1993 or 1995). Pulses of NO₃ at 1 m under AA which did not appear at 1.5 m (Figure 1b) may reflect uptake from that layer in 1994. Kampfe and Ansorge (1972) observed greater root development in the subsoil with AA-fed corn than with NH₄NO₃-fed corn, and in our study the subsoil was drier under AA than under the other sources during the fall of 1994 (data not shown) implicating more deep root development with AA.

Greater topsoil NH₄ concentrations with AA and UAN + DCD than with UAN in the fall of 1993 and 1995 would not be due to inhibition of nitrification until fall, but could be due to greater immobilization following application (as often is found where NI's are used, Wilson et al., 1990; Martin et al., 1997), and subsequent mineralization of this organic N in the fall. Residual N thus conserved during the growing season, can be recycled for the next season by overseeding a winter rye cover crop into corn (Ball-Coelho and Roy, 1997). Reduced leaching losses in the fall with AA and UAN + DCD may also be related to greater aboveground N uptake (by 12 kg ha^{-1} or 9% in 1993, 23 kg ha^{-1} or 18% in 1994, and 8 kg ha^{-1} or 6% in 1995) than with UAN, and hence less residual fertilizer N available for leaching. Estimated leaching losses of N in the fall were: 32, 14 and 12 kg N ha⁻¹ in 1993; 48, 18 and 16 kg N ha⁻¹ in 1994; and 49, 34 and 30 kg N ha⁻¹ in 1995 with UAN, UAN + DCD and AA, respectively (based on the assumption that drainage was equal to rainfall from harvest until December and had the same average NO₃ concentration as measured in solutions at 1, 1.5 and 1 m in 1993, 1994 and 1995, respectively). Average (3 years combined data) reduction in N leaching with AA and UAN + DCD was 53%. Reductions in NO₃ leaching with NI's in other corn systems range: zero (ammonium sulphate + DCD on a loess-derived Luvisol, Buerkert et al., 1995); 10% from planting to silking (urea + nitrapyrin on sandy loam, Walters and Malzer, 1990); 27% (urea + nitrapyrin on silt loam, Owens 1987); and 12 to 17% during the growing season, and 35% after one growing season (urea + nitrapyrin on sandy loam, Timmons, 1984). Impact on leaching appears to be mainly driven by soil texture and whether there is a yield response.

In the present study, NH₄ supply was enhanced by using AA or by adding DCD to UAN. Greatest benefit in terms of both increased N uptake and reduced NO₃ leaching occurred in the wettest year. The trend for increased yield with the addition of DCD was not large or consistent enough to meet registration criteria for fertilizer supplements in Canada, as is commonly observed with NI's. Data demonstrating some reduction of NO₃ movement to groundwater by NI's are as relevant, and registration criteria should reflect water quality concerns. Where AA is not available or growers are reluctant to use AA due to safety concerns, another source would then be available for maximizing yield while minimizing NO₃ leaching.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. More, A. White and P. White for technical assistance.

References

- Agriculture Canada (1993) Ontario Farm Groundwater Quality Survey. IBSN 0-662-20879-X. 162 pp
- Alexander KG, Miller MH & Beauchamp EG (1991) The effect of an NH₄⁺-enhanced nitrogen source on the growth and yield of hydroponically grown maize (*Zea mays* L.). J Plant Nutr 14: 31– 44
- Anonymous (1995) Retail Agricultural Fertilizer Tonnage Report. Cambridge, Ontario: The Fertilizer Institute of Ontario
- Ball-Coelho BR (1997) Soil and Nicotiana tabacum response to a nitrification inhibitor is altered by fumigation. Tob Sci 41: 18–31
- Ball-Coelho BR & RC Roy (1997) Overseeding rye into corn reduces NO₃ leaching and increases yields. Can J Soil Sci 77(3): 443–451
- Barber K, Maddux L, Kissel D, Pierzynski G & Bock B (1992) Corn responses to ammonium- and nitrate-nitrogen fertilization. Soil Sci Soc Am J 56: 1166–1171
- Below F & Gentry LE (1987) Effect of mixed N nutrition on nutrient accumulation, partitioning and productivity of corn. J Fert Issues 4: 79–85
- Biederbeck VO, Campbell CA, Ukrainetz H, Curtin D & Bouman OT (1996) Soil microbial and biochemical properties after ten years of fertilization with urea and anhydrous ammonia. Can J Soil Sci 76: 7–14
- Buerkert B, Horlacher D & Marschner H (1995) Time course of nitrogen in soil solution and nitrogen uptake in maize plants as affected by form and application time of fertilizer nitrogen. Z Fur Acker Und Pflanzenbau 174: 325–336
- Davies DM and Williams PJ (1995) The effect of the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide on nitrate leaching and ammonia volatilization: A UK nitrate sensitive areas perspective. J Environ Management 45: 263–272
- Frye WW, Graitz DA, Locascio SJ, Reeves DW & Touchton JT (1989) Dicyandiamide as a nitrification inhibitor in crop production in the Southeastern USA. Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal 20: 1969–1999
- Hanson RG, Maledy SR & Jentes CE (1986) Effects of nitrapyrin, nitrogen materials and fertilizer application time on corn. J Fert Issues 3: 140–145

- Kampfe K & Ansorge H (1972) Results of fertilizing with anhydrous ammonia. Archiv Fur Acker Und Pflanzenbau Und Bodenkd 16: 751–759
- Kiehl J & Netto AC (1974) The inhibitory effect of anhydrous ammonia on nitrification. Solo 66: 7–13
- Lord ESM & Shepherd MA (1993) Developments in the use of porous ceramic cups for measuring nitrate leaching. J Soil Sci 44: 435–449
- Malzer GL & Randall GW (1985) Influence of nitrification inhibitors, N source, and time of N application on yield and N utilization of corn. J Fert Issues 2: 117–123
- Malzer GL, Kelling KA, Schmitt MA, Hoeft RG & Randall GW (1989) Performance of dicyandiamide in the North Central States. Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal 20: 2001–2022
- Martin HW, Graetz DA, Locascio SJ & Hensel DR (1997) Dicyandiamide effects on nitrification and total inorganic soil nitrogen in sandy soils. Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal 28: 613–633
- Maynard DG & Kalra YP (1993) Nitrate and exchangeable ammonium nitrogen. In: Carter MR (ed) Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, pp 25–38. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL
- Owens LB (1987) Nitrate leaching losses from monolith lysimeters as influenced by nitrapyrin. J Environ Qual 16: 34–38
- Prasad R & Power JF (1995) Nitrification inhibitors for agriculture, health, and the environment. Adv Agron 54: 233–281
- Rogers RL, Crawford SH, Retzinger EJ & Richard P (1981) Evaluation of Pix plant growth regulator. In: Annual Progress Report 1981, pp 198–201. Northeast Exp Stn St. Joseph, La and Macon Ridge Branch Stn Winnsboro, LA
- Scharf PC & Alley MM (1988) Nitrogen loss pathways and nitrogen loss inhibitors: A review. J Fert Issues 5: 109–125

- Stehouwer RC & Johnson JW (1990) Urea and anhydrous ammonia management for conventional tillage corn production. J Prod Agric 3: 507–513
- Stevenson K (1992) Nitrogen use in different tillage systems. In: Proc Ont Soil Fertility and Crop Update Nov 1992, pp 24–26. Guelph, Ont
- Tel DA & Rao PV (1981a) Determining nitrate and nitrite in soil extracts. In: Automated and Semi-automated Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis. Manual Series Number 7, pp 8–11. Intl Inst Tropical Agric, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Tel DA & Rao PV (1981b) Determining ammonium in soil extracts. In: Automated and Semi-automated Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis. Manual Series Number 7, pp 12–13. Intl Inst Tropical Agric, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Tel DA & Rao PV (1981c) Determining total nitrogen in plant material. In: Automated and Semi-automated Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis. Manual Series Number 7, pp 16–18. Intl Inst Tropical Agric, Ibadan Nigeria
- Timmons DR (1984) Nitrate leaching as influenced by water application level and nitrification inhibitors. J Environ Qual 13: 305–310
- Tsai CY & Huber DM (1996) Genetic variation of maize hybrids in grain yield response to potassium and inhibiting nitrification. J Sci Food Agric 70: 263–270
- Walters DT & Malzer GL (1990) Nitrogen management and nitrification inhibitor effects on nitrogen-15 urea. II Nitrogen leaching and balance. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54: 122–130
- Wilson CE, Norman RJ & Wells BR (1990) Dicyandiamide influence on uptake of preplant-applied fertilizer by rice. Soil Sci Soc Am J 54: 1157–1161